FY24 Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias Initiatives Grants Grading Rubric for Grant Proposal Evaluation

oplicant:	
eviewer:	
ne following rubric will be used to assess grant applications based on the specified criteria	a:
xcellent)	
<u>air)</u>	
<u>oor)</u>	

Note: (Good) could indicate meeting the minimum requirements but not exceeding them in a significant way.

Supporting Documentation:

Proposed Budget Letters of Support Grant Agreement (Not final)

1. Description of Organization

(Excellent): The organization's purpose and current services are concisely and comprehensively described, clearly understanding its mission and activities.

(Fair): The organization's purpose and current services are adequately described but may lack some depth or clarity.

(Poor): The organization's purpose and current services are inadequately described, lacking essential information.

2. Statement of the Problem

(Excellent): The problem statement is articulated clearly and concisely, identifying unmet needs with precision and depth. Data is used to support the argument.

(Fair): The problem statement is articulated clearly, identifying unmet needs, but may lack some precision or depth.

(Poor): The problem statement is unclear or does not effectively identify unmet needs.

3. Goals and Objectives

(Excellent): The proposal provides a clear outline of the goals and objectives it will address. Objectives are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time bound.

(Fair): The proposal provides a outline of the goals and objectives it will address, but objectives are not specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound.

(**Poor**): The proposal does not provide an outline of the goals and objectives it will address or goals and objectives are not specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time bound.

4. Project Description

(Excellent): The proposal clearly and specifically describes the project to be implemented, how it addresses the purpose of this funding opportunity, and outlines how the project will incorporate the areas of interest described in the funding opportunity.

(Fair): The proposal provides a basic outline of a proposed plan but lacks specificity on implementation. The project, as described, does not target the area of interest identified in the RFP. and may not be successful.

(**Poor**): The proposal provides a limited or unclear description of the project, does not align with the RFP, or has feasibility issues preventing its success.

5. Sustainability

(Excellent): The sustainability plan is comprehensive, outlining strategic steps for maintaining services after the grant period, with innovative and viable strategies.

(Fair): The sustainability plan outlines steps for maintaining services after the grant period but may lack innovation or a detailed strategy.

(**Poor**): The sustainability plan lacks detail or does not offer a clear strategy for maintaining services after the grant period.

6. Outcomes

(Excellent): The proposed identifies the anticipated outcomes of the project and how these outcomes will be evaluated and demonstrated. Applicants clearly outline the use of the evaluation tools recommended to measure relevant outcomes.

(Fair): The proposed identifies the anticipated outcomes of the project and how these outcomes will be evaluated and demonstrated. Applicants do not clearly outline the use of the evaluation tools recommended to measure relevant outcomes.

(Poor): The proposal identifies outcomes of the project but not how these outcomes will be evaluated and demonstrated. Applicants do not use recommended evaluation tools.

7. Organizational Capacity and Project Management

(Excellent): The proposal presents an outstandingly organized overview of how the project will be accomplished, including a detailed account of roles and responsibilities, demonstrating strong organizational capacity and effective project management.

(Fair): The proposal provides an overview of how the project will be accomplished, but roles and responsibilities may not be as clearly defined.

(**Poor**): The proposal lacks a clear or effective overview of how the project will be accomplished.

8. Budget

(Excellent): The budget provides sufficient detail to understand how the costs were determined and whether the resources are sufficient to meet the project need. The budget is sufficient to achieve the project objectives.

(Fair): The budget is balanced and is sufficient to achieve the project, but lacks some detail to understand how the costs were determined.

(Poor): The budget lacks sufficient detail to understand how the costs were determined and/or is insufficient to achieve the project.

Overall Assessment:
Excellent-
Fair-
Poor-
Additional Comments: [Provide specific feedback and recommendations for improvement]